18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP					
2	STEVEN G. GATLEY, SB# 155986 JAMIE L. VELS, SB# 156469					
	221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200					
3	Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 250-1800; Facsimile: (213) 250-7900					
4	Email: gatley@lbbslaw.com -vels@lbbslaw.com					
5	Attorneys for Defendant,					
	CLARKE MOSQUITO CONTROL PRODUCTS, INC.,					
6	erroneously sued as Clarke Mosquito Control, Inc.					
7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
9						
10	MICHELLE MILLER,	CASE NO. C 05 00203 JSW				
11	Plaintiff,	VIA E-FILING				
12	v.)) STIPULATION TO EXTEND ALL				
13	CLARKE MOSQUITO CONTROL, an Illinois	LITIGATION DATES; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER				
	Corporation; DOW CHEMICAL dba DOW					
14	AGROSCIENCES, an Indiana Corporation; DOES 1 to 40, inclusive,					
15						
16	Defendants.					
17	TO THE COURT AND ALL ATTORNE	YS OF RECORD:				

Plaintiff MICHELLE MILLER ("Plaintiff") alleges both an action for negligence and products liability. Plaintiff alleges that she was exposed to Mosquito Mist and as a result was injured. Mosquito Mist is a larvecide that Defendant CLARKE MOSQUITO CONTROL PRODUCTS, INC. ("Clarke Mosquito") formulated and distributed. Plaintiff contends that among other things, Clarke Mosquito had knowledge of the hazards to humans, and by not adequately warning of the dangers of the product, it breached its duty of care to Plaintiff. She contends she was exposed to Mosquito Mist while living in the Cayman Islands. The application of Mosquito Mist was allegedly done by the Mosquito Control Research Unit ("MCRU"), a division of the Cayman Islands' government.

During the course of its investigation, Clarke Mosquito discovered evidence that plaintiff may have been exposed to another mosquito abatement product, commonly known as Aqua Reslin

(active ingredient Permethrin) on the date of the incident that gives rise to this litigation. Aqua
Reslin is manufactured by Aventis Environmental Sciences USA LP which is a wholly owned
subsidiary of Bayer Industries (German company) and distributed by ADAPCO (Sanford, Florida)

Defendant Clarke Mosquito has filed a Motion to Implead Bayer Industries, Aventis Environmental Sciences USA LP and ADAPCO. The Motion to Implead is currently on calendar for June 30, 2006. Assuming the Court grants the motion, three additional new parties will be added to this case by July 2006.

As instructed by the Court, the parties participated in a Pre-Mediation Conference on May 10, 2006 with assigned Mediator Nancy Foster. The parties informed Mediator Foster of the Motion to Implead and the parties intent to request an extension of the discovery dates. Based on the parties representation, Mediator Foster has not scheduled a Mediation date.

For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that all dates be continued for an additional 90 days.

The following are the current litigation dates that are set in this matter:

	1.	Mediation cut-off date:	June 30, 2006
	2.	Fact/Discovery cut-off date:	July 14, 2006
	3.	Further Case Management Conference:	August 4, 2006
	4.	Plaintiff's Expert Reports Disclosure:	September 15, 2006
	5.	Last day to hear dispositive motions:	September 22, 2006
	6.	Defendant's Expert Reports Disclosure:	October 20, 2006
	7.	Pre-trial Status Conference:	November 20, 2006
//			

Ma y. •	. 31. 	2016 2:00 Cases:00	0.PM 5:05-00200203\\\\	SWD 6 December 13:738 Filled 1055/5011/2000 6 Page 3 e 5 5 5 5 5 5		
	1	8.	Trial:	December 11, 2006		
	2	Dated: May	y 23, 2006	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP		
	3			Par.		
	4			Steven G. Gatley, Esq.		
	5			Attorneys for Defendant CLARKE MOSQUITO CONTROL PRODUCTS, INC.		
	6	Dated: Ma	y <u>Z</u> Z 2006	CHUHAK & TECSON		
	7			1. 97		
	8			By David J. Tecson, Esq.		
	9			Sanjay Shivpuri, Est. Attorneys <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> for Defendant		
	10	·		CLARKE MOSQUITO CONTROL PRODUCTS, INC		
5	11	Dated: Ma	ay, 2006	LAW OFFICES OF ROGER RUBIN		
1 E 120 2-2601	12					
NORTH HGUERGA STREET, SUITE 1220 DS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2601 TELEPHONE (213) 250-1800	13			By Roger Rubin, Esq.		
A STRE JFORM (213) 22	14			Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHELLE MILLER		
SUERO IS, CAI	15					
ANGELI TELEF	16	[PROPOSED] ORDER				
221 NO 10S	17	Based upon the Stipulation by and between Plaintiff and Clarke Mosquito, it is ordered that the				
	18	parties shall have an extension of the scheduled litigation dates as follows:				
	19	1.	The Mediation	n cut-off date of June 30, 2006 is continued to:		
	20					
	21	2.	The Fact cut-	off date of July 14, 2006 is continued to:		
	22					
	23	3.	The Further C	ase Management Conference of August 4, 2006 at 1:30 p.m. is continued		
	24	to:				
	25	1	Plaintiff's Ex	pert Reports previously due on September 15, 2006 are now due on:		
	26			the still and is an displayed from Santambar 22, 2006 to:		
	27	h	Last day to he	ear dispositive motions is continued from September 22, 2006 to:		
	28	4832-2124-39	005.1	-3-		
		<u> </u>	STIPULATION TO	EXTEND ALL LITIGATION DATES; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

Received 05-31-06 11:54am

From-

To-Lewis Brisbois Bisga

Page 005

1	8.	T ri al:	December 11, 2006
2	Dated: May	23, 2006	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
3			_
4			BySteven G. Gatley, Esq.
5			Attorneys for Defendant CLARKE MOSQUITO CONTROL PRODUCTS, INC.
6	Dated: May	/ , 2006	CHUHAK & TECSON
7			
8			Ву
9			David J. Tecson, Esq. Sanjay Shivpuri, Esq.
10	,		Attorneys Pro Hac Vice for Defendant CLARKE MOSQUITO CONTROL PRODUCTS, INC
ľ	Power die Baden	and more	
11	Dated: May	/ <u>27</u> , 2006	LAW OFFICES OF ROGER RUBIN
12		•	
3			By Robin Esq.
4			Anotheys for Plaintiff MICHELLE MILLER
15			
16			[PROPOSED] ORDER
17	Based upon the Stipulation by and between Plaintiff and Clarke Mosquito, it is ordered that the		
8	parties shall have an extension of the scheduled litigation dates as follows:		
19	1.	The Mediation cu	t-off date of June 30, 2006 is continued to:
20		October	6, 2006
11	2.	2. The Fact cut-off date of July 14, 2006 is continued to:	
22		Octob	per 20, 2006
:3	3.	The Further Case I	Management Conference of August 4, 2006 at 1:30 p.m., is continued
4	to:		, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.
5	4.	Plaintiff's Expert	Reports previously due on September 15, 2006 are now due on:
6	-		per 15, 2006
7	5 .	Last day to hear di	ispositive motions is continued from September 22, 2006 to:
8			2, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.
	4832-2124-3905.1		-3-
	SI	PULATION TO EXT	END ALL LITIGATION DATES; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Case 3:05:05-002002:03-05-002002:05-05-50f 5

6. Defendant's Expert Reports previously due on October 20, 2006 are now due on: February 9, 2007 2 7. The Pre-trial Status Conference of November 20, 2006 at 2:00 p.m. is continued to: 3 March 5, 2007 at 2:00 p.m. 4 5 8. The Trial currently set for December 11, 2006 is now continued to: April 2, 2007 at 8:30 a.m. 6 7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: 8 9 Ley SWhits 10 June 1, 2006 Dated: 11 Honorable 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 221 NORTH FIGUEROA STREET, SUITE 1200 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNA 90012-2801

-4-